PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 105329 a/ds		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
Internation	onal application No.	International filing da	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/	/EP2004/011882	20.10.200	4	13.11.2003			
Internation	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
G03E	B17/56, F16M11	/10, F16M11/0	5				
	,	,					
Applicant SACHTLER GMBH & CO. KG							
1	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	This REPORT consists of a to	tal of 7	sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.			
3.	This report is also accompanie	ed by ANNEXES, comprising					
	a. (sent to the applica	ant and to the International Bi	ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
		ning rectifications authorized		amended and are the basis for this report and/or ale 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
	l l	_		nsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental			
	b. (sent to the Interno	utional Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and numbe	er of electronic carrier(s))			
	c (sem to me imenia		(moreare type and nome.				
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.							
	Box No. I Basi	s of the report					
	Box No. II Prior	rity					
	Box No. III Non	establishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Cert	ain documents cited					
	Box No. VII Cert	ain defects in the international	application				
	Box No. VIII Cert	ain observations on the interna	ntional application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of comp			Date of completion of th	nis report			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer				
Faccimila No.			T-lh N-				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/011882

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		n regard to the language , this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	onal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original languments which is the language of a translation furnished for the pure		,
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.	4)	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and		
2.	rece	n regard to the elements of the international application, thi iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a report):		
		the international application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
		pages <u>1-15</u>		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		pages*	_ received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (together	
		nos.*1-11	_ received by this Authority on	09.05.2005 with letter of 09.05.2005
		nos.*	_ received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets _ 1/4-4/4		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	_ received by this Authority on	
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppler	mental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the description cheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amen they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as t		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		_
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		_
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "suj	perseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011882

Box			rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Industrial applicabilit	y (IA) Claims	1-11	YES
		Claims		_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. The application relates to a tripod head having a device for balancing a weight torque occurring during a tilting movement of objects of different weights (such as cameras or such like) when the tilting range is large (± 90°). The present report refers to the following documents:

D1: DE 30 26 379 A1
D2: DE 27 17 772 B1
D3: DE 39 08 682 A1.

2.1 Document D1 is considered the prior art closest to
 the subject matter of claim 1. Document D1
 discloses (the references between parentheses
 relate to D1):

a tripod head having a stator (2) and a rotor (4) which is mounted in such a way that it can be rotated relative to the stator about a tilt axis (3), and a balancing device (10) for balancing a weight torque occurring when the rotor carries out a tilting movement, which device has an energy storage unit (11) which subjects the rotor (4) to

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a restoring torque during the tilting movement, wherein the balancing device (10) has an additional device (16', 30, 31, 24, 19) which influences the transmission of the rotation of the rotor (4) to the energy storage unit and therefore also the restoring torque applied to the rotor (4) through the energy storage unit.

It is further known from document D1 that the additional device (16', 30, 31, 24, 19) has a rotationally mounted shaft (3) and means (16', 30, 31, 24, 19) for transmitting the rotating movement of the rotor (4) to the shaft (3) in such a way that during the tilting movement the restoring torque applied to the rotor (4) by means of the energy storage unit (11) is influenced by the rotation of the shaft (3) about its axis (see page 8, last paragraph, below, to page 10, paragraph 3, and page 12, last paragraph, to page 13, paragraph 2). According to document D1 the restoring torque is influenced by a varying number of storage elements 11 and 11', which are influenced by the locking devices in figures 5 and 6.

2.2 Document D2, which is likewise by the applicant, also discloses a tripod head with weight balancing for a camera mounted in a tiltable manner, and explicitly discloses that the restoring torque follows a sinusoidal curve (see column 5, line 45, to column 8, line 30, in particular column 7).

Box No. V

	citations and explanations supporting such statement
2.3	Document D3 also concerns a tripod head having a
	device for balancing weight during a tilting
	movement. This tripod head likewise has an
	additional device for influencing the restoring
	torque using a stator, a rotor and a balancing
	device, an energy storage unit and means for
	influencing the restoring torque (see figures 1
	and 2, and column 3, line 65, to column 5, line
	66). Document D3 further discloses two different,
	parallel shafts (for example, 6 and 8 in figure
	2), as well as the coupling of a plurality of
	balancing devices of this kind so as to balance
	out different angles of inclination and weights,
	and the coupling of these using a multi-stage
	gear.

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

- 3. Novelty: documents D1 and D3 do not disclose that the resorting torque follows a sinusoidal curve, and documents D1 and D2 do not disclose a multistage gear. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is novel over each of documents D1, D2 or D3, within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).
- 4. Inventive step: a person skilled in the art wishing to solve the problem of positioning cameras of different weights on a tripod head having a tilting device and a weight balancing feature, wherein the tilting range should be as large as possible (± 90°), would, proceeding from the tripod head known from document D1, via document D2 arrive at a tripod head wherein the

PCT/EP2004/011882

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

weight balancing follows a sinusoidal curve, but not at an adjustment range as large as the one disclosed in the application, nor at the ability to make adjustments for cameras of widely varying weights, since, according to document D2, different energy storage devices (springs) are required to this end. Even if document D3 were consulted, it would still not be possible to arrive at the structure according to claim 1, since according to document D3 this would occur through the coupling of further energy storage devices (spring housings). A compact structure without the coupling of further additional devices, as in claim 1, would therefore not be possible in an obvious manner, even by a combination of all three documents. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 involves an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

- 5. The industrial applicability of a tripod head according to claim 1 is clearly established (PCT Article 33(4)).
- 6. Claims 2-11 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability.

PCT/EP2004/011882

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

7. Independent claim 1 was not drafted in the two-part form specified by PCT Rule 6.3(b). However, in the present case the two-part form would appear to be appropriate. Accordingly, the features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) should have been placed in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features specified in the characterizing part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii)).

In the present case, the features cited in Box V, point 2.1, above are known in combination from document D1 and should therefore be placed in the preamble of claim 1.

8. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents D2 and D3 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.